

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,041	01/22/2002	Dave Jaussaud	P-1084 1381	
7:	590 03/25/2003			
Scott R. Cox		EXAMINER		
Suite 2200 400 West Mark	et St.	ILDEBRANDO, CHRISTINA A		
Louisville, KY 40202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1725	
		DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					1			
Office Action Summary		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/054,04	· •	JAUSSAUD ET AL	<b>-</b> .			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Christina II	debrando	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.	January 200	<u>2</u> .					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is i	non-final.					
3)□								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)□ (	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 (	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Application								
,	he specification is objected to by the Examine							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
, <del></del>								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
,-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	te have heer	received					
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) D Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	·		/ (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/054,041

Art Unit: 1725



- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-12, drawn to an adsorbent composition, classified in class 502, subclass 67.
  - II. Claims 13-23, drawn to a separation process, classified in class 95, subclass 90+.
  - III. Claim 24, drawn to catalytic conversion of organic compounds, classified in class 585, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of use, such as the catalytic conversion of organic compounds. The claims of Group III provide evidence that the product can be used in a materially different process of use.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially

Art Unit: 1725

different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of use, the separation of nitrogen from oxygen. The claims of Group II provide evidence that the product can be used in a materially different process of use.

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are different processes which are not used together and have different functions, different modes of operations, and different effects.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Additionally, if Applicant elects Group II, an election of species is required: This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A: Process for drying a gaseous feed stream;

Species B: Process for adsorption of carbon dioxide from an air stream;

Species C: Process for the removal of water from a gaseous or liquid ethanol stream;

Application/Control Number: 10/054,041

Art Unit: 1725

Species D: Process for the separation of nitrogen and oxygen from air;

Species E: Process for the removal of sulfur and oxygen containing compounds from a hydrocarbon stream;

Species F: Process for the removal of carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and nitrogen from a hydrogen gas stream;

Species G: Process for the removal of water from a gaseous or liquid hydrocarbon stream;

Species H: Process to separation n-paraffins from iso-paraffin/n-paraffin mixture;

Species I: Process for the removal of water from refrigerants;

Species J: Process for the removal of water and carbon dioxide from air.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 13 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

Application/Control Number: 10/054,041

Art Unit: 1725

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christina Ildebrando whose telephone number is (703) 305-0469. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5, with Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (703) 308-3318. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1725

Page 6

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

CAI March 18, 2003

TOM DUNN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700